

H2 2025

Meta's Bi-Annual Report on the Oversight Board

Appendix

Table of Contents

I. Transparency Recommendations	4
By Current Status : Complete (8)	4
By Current Status : In Progress (2)	11
By Current Status : No Further Updates (1)	13
By Current Status : Long Term (5)	14
II. Policy Recommendations	19
By Current Status : Complete (6)	19
By Current Status : In Progress (34)	23
By Current Status : Long Term (3)	47
III. Enforcement Recommendations	50
By Current Status : Complete (7)	50
By Current Status : In Progress (13)	57
By Current Status : No Further Updates (1)	69
By Current Status : Long Term (9)	71

How to Read this Appendix

This appendix details Meta’s progress toward implementing the Oversight Board’s non-binding recommendations throughout the second half of 2025. For a synopsis of these efforts, please refer back to the [H2 2025 Report on the Oversight Board](#). The recommendations are categorized by implementation commitment level and current status of implementation. For each recommendation, we include the following information:

I. RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommendation we are sharing a progress update on, along with any accompanying recommendations. As noted previously, when the Board has issued similar recommendations across multiple cases, we combine these recommendations in progress updates.

II. PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

The implementation commitment level indicated in the last Public Update, or the 60-day response to the Board, whichever was more recent.

III. UPDATED COMMITMENT

The current implementation commitment level is based on updated assessments or additional input from the Board in the form of relevant recommendations, content decisions, or guidance from the Implementation Working Group.

IV. CURRENT STATUS

The current status of our implementation work.

V. H2 2025 UPDATE

An overview of our recent progress, challenges, considerations, and next steps for our work for each recommendation.

I. Transparency Recommendations

By Current Status : *Complete* (8)

RECOMMENDATION

In its transparency reporting, Facebook should include numbers of profile, page, and account restrictions, including the reason and manner in which enforcement action was taken, with information broken down by region and country.

Former President Trump’s Suspension from Facebook No.18

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

We have steadily matured our transparency reporting to increase the granularity of our reporting on enforcement decisions involving complex objects. As committed in our [previous update](#), we have since included this information in our EU DSA Transparency Reports (for Very Large Online Platforms), available alongside other regulatory reports in our [Transparency Center](#).

These reports include data on account restrictions and their respective reasons across EU Member States. Additionally, provision of service restrictions include actions against groups, pages, ad accounts, and other actions that restrict the use of some functionality on Facebook with the corresponding reason for the action.

We will continue to expand this reporting through our regulatory reports, available in the [Transparency Centre](#), in alignment with our priorities and regional obligations. Given the granularity and breadth of the reports available, we consider this recommendation complete and will continue to uphold our commitments to transparency and accountability through ongoing regulatory reporting.

RECOMMENDATION

Include information on the number of requests Facebook receives for content removals from governments that are based on Community Standards violations (as opposed to violations of national law), and the outcome of those requests.

Support of Abdullah Öcalan Founder of the PKK No.11 (*Group of 3 recommendations¹*)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

We have deployed various initiatives to provide clearer, more consistent reporting on the government requests we receive to review content. In our [previous update](#), we shared that we were scoping the work to define and implement a metric for this recommendation, and we have since expanded our disclosures across our transparency and regulatory reporting.

In our EU Digital Services Act Transparency Reports for Very Large Online Platforms, available on the [Transparency Centre](#), we provide information on the number of requests Meta received from EU Member States to act on content that violates the Community Standards or local law, with relevant breakdowns by alleged violation and country. The report also includes user reports and trusted flagger reports that result in community standards removals or local law restrictions with the reason for those actions. Lastly, the report details instances where governments have requested additional information about specific users, and provides contextual insight, including the median time Meta takes to respond to such requests.

In our [Türkiye Transparency Report for Content Removal Requests](#), we report on the volume of requests received from judicial and administrative authorities across Facebook and Instagram, including detail on the outcomes of these requests. We also provide information on the human resources we dedicate to content moderation for the service offered in Türkiye, including staff qualifications, linguistic expertise, training, and support.

¹ The Board issued similar recommendations in recommendation No.4 in the [Al Jazeera Post on Tensions Between Israel and Palestine case](#) and recommendation No.6 in the [Veiled Threat of Violence Based on Lyrics from a Drill Rap Song case](#). We are tracking the progress of our work in response to these recommendations as part of our response to recommendation No.11 in the Support of Abdullah Öcalan case.

We also use our [case studies](#) to illustrate the breadth and diversity of requests we receive from governments and courts to take actions such as global restriction and restoration. These case studies are intended to draw attention in real time to broad government takedown requests, the types of content being reported, and the agencies exercising authority over user content.

With disclosures through our [regulatory and transparency reports](#) where feasible and mandated, and our ongoing commitment to transparency through future reporting, we now consider this recommendation implemented and will not provide further updates.

RECOMMENDATION

For single accounts and networks of Meta entities that repeatedly violate the misinformation policy, Meta should conduct or share existing research on the effects of its newly publicized penalty system, including any data about how this system is designed to prevent these violations. This research should include analysis of accounts amplifying or coordinating health misinformation campaigns. The assessment should evaluate the effectiveness of the demonetization penalties that Meta currently uses, in addressing the financial motivations/benefits of sharing harmful and false or misleading information.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta shares the outcome of this research with the Board and reports a summary of the results on the Transparency Center.

PAO on Removal of COVID-19 Misinformation No.9

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

Since the initial sharing of this recommendation in 2023, there have been a number of updates to our approach to Misinformation and related policies and we have shared these updates externally in our Transparency Center as well as with the Board in a variety of ways. These updates have included sharing details related to how we approach certain forms of misinformation in addition to the explanation of strikes on pages in our Transparency Center, while continuing to keep our

Community Standards updated as well. Internally, we have also worked with our teams to ensure the effectiveness of our systems around repeat offenders and made changes accordingly. We believe these internal efforts coupled with transparency efforts across other areas of our policies mark this work as implemented in part and complete

Moreover, on November 19, 2025, the Oversight Board accepted Meta’s request for a [Policy Advisory Opinion \(PAO\)](#) on our approach to expanding the Community Notes program outside of the United States. As part of this effort, we have shared a number of details with the Board, explaining how Community Notes aims to address posts that are potentially misleading by allowing users to add additional context. In our request and in our page detailing Community Notes we reiterated that our approach to enforcing our Misinformation and Harm Community Standard remains unchanged in that we remove misinformation in situations where it is likely to contribute to risk of imminent physical harm.

Given these measures and consistent with our previous responses to recommendations from this Policy Advisory Opinion Request, we consider this recommendation complete and will have no further updates.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should create a section in its Community Standards Enforcement Report to report on state actor requests to review content for the policy on Misinformation about health during public health emergencies violations. The report should include the details on the number of review and removal requests by country and government agency, and the number of rejections and approvals by Meta.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta publishes a separate section in its Community Standards Enforcement Report information on requests from state actors that led to removal for this type of policy violation.

[PAO on Removal of COVID-19 Misinformation No.13](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

Implementing in Part

UPDATED COMMITMENT

Implementing in Part

CURRENT STATUS

Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

When we receive reports of content that violates our policies, we remove it entirely from our platform, regardless of the source of the report. We report on enforcement of the Community Standards in our [Community Standards Enforcement Report](#).

Our report on [Content Restrictions Based on Local Law](#) details instances in which we receive requests to review content from governments, regulators, and courts, as well as from non-government entities and members of the public. These reports show where we have restricted access to content based on local law. We have a robust process for reviewing reports alleging that content on Facebook and Instagram violates local law. In every instance, we first assess the report against the Community Standards. If we determine the content violates our policies, we remove it.

Our reporting on [Content Restrictions Based on Local Law](#) provides a global account of the volume of [content that we have restricted](#), categorised by product and content type, along with [country-specific](#) information on restricted content. While we do not explicitly highlight every instance in which state actor requests to review content relate to our Misinformation policy, we remain committed to identifying and publishing relevant [case studies](#) that detail content restriction requests from law enforcement. This process is dynamic, and we continue to enhance our transparency reports to reflect the evolving nature of these requests and our responses. As part of this commitment, we regularly refresh the law-enforcement-sourced case studies to ensure our reporting remains current and informative.

Given our various reports, we now consider this recommendation complete and will provide no further updates. Our ongoing work in this area will be reflected in future [Community Standards Enforcement Reports](#), which will include updates on requests for content restrictions and reference the relevant case studies. We will continue to uphold our commitment to transparency and accountability, and future reports will serve as the primary source for ongoing updates in this domain.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should ensure that qualified researchers, civil society organizations and journalists, who previously had access to CrowdTangle, are onboarded to the company's new Content Library within three weeks of submitting their application.

The Board will consider this implemented when Meta provides the Board with a complete list of researchers and organizations that previously had access to CrowdTangle, and the turnaround time it took to onboard them to the Meta Content Library, at least 75% of which should be three weeks or less.

From the River to the Sea Bundle No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

We have [launched](#) the functionality for eligible users to apply to the Meta Content Library (MCL) on [Research Tools Manager](#). The launch marks an additional milestone to streamline the application and onboarding experience for Meta Content Library application as the relevant account management infrastructure has been consolidated into a Meta-hosted application portal. The new application portal upholds our commitment to accelerate our efforts to onboard eligible individuals to [MCL](#).

Additionally, we have continued to prioritize process efficiencies to improve the onboarding and user experience of eligible individuals to [MCL](#). Providing transparency on product and documentation changes regarding the user interface and API, we have an external-facing consolidated [changelog](#) that lists major feature changes and updates. They are publicly mapped to the relevant product in reverse chronological order to ensure that users are informed about the latest changes. This update is noteworthy for users as the previous change log solely recorded updates for Meta Content Library API.

Additionally, our MCL [application guide](#) details our application process, including approximated review periods. As detailed in our [initial response](#), we will not be sharing lists of previously onboarded users of CrowdTangle, adhering to the principles of our industry-leading privacy program. Given the significant improvements in our onboarding and user experience processes and commitment to continuous improvements on these, we now consider this recommendation complete and will have no further updates.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should ensure the Meta Content Library is a suitable replacement for CrowdTangle, which provides equal or greater functionality and data access.

The Board will consider this implemented when a survey of a representative sample of onboarded researchers, civil society organizations and journalists shows that at least 75% believe they are able to reasonably continue, reproduce or conduct new research of public interest, using the Meta Content Library. This survey should be carried out longitudinally if necessary, and the results of its first iteration should be shared with the Board no later than Q1, 2025.

From the River to the Sea Bundle No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

We remain committed to ensuring [Meta Content Library](#) is an effective research tool. Our continuous engagements with onboarded individuals, including the Oversight Board, have helped us to scope opportunities to improve this tool, make adjustments and collect ongoing feedback. We have documented these adjustments in our public-facing [changelog](#), as well as in our [initial response](#), noting data types such as comments and posts in Events and Groups that were added in part as a result of user feedback. Our changelog is updated per release to ensure that users are optimizing the functionality and access that the tool presents. We remain committed to expanding Meta's Content Library (MCL) to ensure that we are providing an increasingly comprehensive and accurate review of public, organic (non ad) content circulating on our platforms. Given our commitment to continuing engagements with Meta Content Library users, improving the tool, and documenting new updates, we consider this recommendation partially implemented and will provide no further updates.

By Current Status : *In Progress* (2)

RECOMMENDATION

As the company rolls out Community Notes, it should undertake continuous assessments of the effectiveness of Community Notes as compared to third-party fact-checking. These assessments should focus on the speed, accuracy and volume of notes or labels being affixed in situations where the rapid dissemination of false information creates risks to public safety.

The Board will consider this recommendation to be implemented when Meta updates the Board every six months until implementation is completed and shares the results of this evaluation publicly.

Posts Supporting UK Riots No.5

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Per our [initial response](#), we have continued to uphold Community Notes as an independent mechanism for our community to decide when and how to add more context to posts, separate from third-party fact checks. Since rolling out Community Notes, we have continued to provide [transparency](#) to users on how it works and the relevant impact on posts. Since [launching](#) in March 2025, we have recorded significant improvements in our community’s ability and speed to reach consensus. We’re continuing to make improvements and building new features that are making Community Notes a better and faster experience. We intend to be transparent about how Community Notes is working and how different viewpoints inform the notes displayed in our apps. Transparency is important to us and we are working on how we can best share this information.

RECOMMENDATION

To provide more transparency to users, Meta should publish a clear explanation on how it creates and enforces its designated symbols list under the Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard. This explanation should include the processes and criteria for designating the symbols and how the company enforces against different symbols, including information on strikes and any other enforcement actions taken against designated symbols.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when the information is published in the Transparency Center and is hyperlinked in the public-facing Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard.

[Symbols Adopted by Dangerous Organizations No.4](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

We are assessing the feasibility of implementing this recommendation in line with Recommendation No.2 from this [decision](#). As part of this assessment, we will also consider the level of detail that would be most appropriate to publish on any process that we may implement, balancing our commitment to transparency while protecting the integrity of our internal systems.

By Current Status : *No Further Updates* (1)

RECOMMENDATION

To make sure users are able to understand which policies their content was enforced against, Meta should develop tools to rectify mistakes in its user messaging notifying the user about the Community Standard they violated.

The Board will consider this implemented when the related review and notification systems are updated accordingly.

[Weapons Post Linked to Sudan's Conflict No.2](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	No Further Action	No Further Updates

H1 2025 UPDATE

We have monitored the frequency of cases requiring subsequent rectification messaging following initial enforcement notifications for Community Standard violations. Upon assessment, we have determined that such instances are infrequent. Given the low prevalence and in consideration of broader company priorities, we have concluded that at this time it is not feasible to dedicate additional resources to develop tools specifically for rectification messaging. Our ongoing efforts remain focused on ensuring that initial enforcement notifications are clear, accurate, and compliant with regulatory requirements, including those outlined in our [Digital Services Act \(DSA\) Transparency Reports](#). We continue to invest in improving the quality and transparency of our enforcement notices, as reflected in our public reports and other transparency resources. With this assessment, we consider the Board's recommendation addressed and this item closed, with no further updates planned.

By Current Status : *Long Term* (5)

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should regularly review the data on its content moderation decisions prompted by state actor content review requests to assess for any systemic biases. Meta should create a formal feedback loop to fix any biases and/or outsized impacts stemming from its decisions on government content takedowns. The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta regularly publishes the general insights derived from these audits and the actions taken to mitigate systemic biases.

Veiled Threat of Violence Based on Lyrics from a Drill Rap Song No.7

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

Assessing Feasibility

UPDATED COMMITMENT

Assessing Feasibility

CURRENT STATUS

Long Term – Update Q3 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

We remain committed to maintaining transparency on how we address content decisions prompted by state actor content review requests including providing clarity on [how we assess reports of content violating local law](#) and the [principles](#) that determine how we disclose government requests publicly. Our goal is to ensure that our evaluations of these requests are accurate, fair, and consistent with Meta’s [policies and commitments](#). We continue to assess how to best ensure that our existing efforts to collect data on [content restrictions based on local law](#), already detailed by [country](#), aligns with efforts to collect the relevant insights to address any systemic issues. We will provide further updates on our efforts in future reports

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should use specialized teams, independent from political or economic influence, including from Meta’s public policy teams, to evaluate entities for list inclusion. To ensure criteria are met, specialized staff, with the benefit of local input, should ensure objective application of inclusion criteria.

The Board will consider this implemented when Meta will provide the Board with internal documents detailing which teams handle list creation and where they sit in the organization.

PAO on Meta’s Cross-Check Policies No.8

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Implementing in Part	Long Term - Update Q1 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

We have continued to make progress leveraging regional specialists to enhance Sensitive Entity Secondary Review (SSR) list governance by implementing a number of initiatives. Notably, we have created a formalized process which allows regional teams to nominate entities for SSR protection ahead of socially and politically relevant local events, ensuring that SSR lists have increased local governance beyond a policy capacity.

In our [previous update](#), we highlighted that regional teams had the ability to flag accounts of users who persistently violate our policies for removal from the SSR program; the new process ensures that regional teams are involved early on. In 2026 we will continue to explore ways to enhance the role of regional specialists in SSR list governance, including discussing opportunities for regional teams to play a more active role in evaluating entities for SSR eligibility ahead of elections and in response to unexpected, politically sensitive events.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should conduct periodic reviews of different aspects of its enhanced review system, including content with the longest time to resolution and high-profile violating content left on the platform.

PAO on Meta’s Cross-Check Policies No.28

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
---------------------	--------------------	----------------

Implementing Fully

Implementing Fully

Long Term – Update Q3 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

We have made substantial progress on developing a metrics-measurement infrastructure to measure the success of the Mistake Prevention program, and have created a dashboard framework to surface metrics that identify opportunities to improve the effectiveness of our enforcement workflows, enabling us to rapidly address violating content.

Our priority for 2026 is to continue working to surface a time-specific metric to more accurately capture the amount of time violating content spends in each part of the review stage, to create further efficiencies within the human review process.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should publish regular transparency reporting focused specifically on delayed enforcement of false-positive prevention systems. Reports should contain data that permits users and the public to understand how these programs function and what their consequences on public discourse may be. At minimum, the Board recommends Meta include: A) Overturn rates for false positive mistake-prevention systems, disaggregated according to different factors; B) The total number and percentage of escalation-only policies applied due to false positive mistake-prevention programs relative to total enforcement decisions; C) Average and median time to final decision for content subject to false-positive Mistake Prevention programs, disaggregated by country and language; D) Aggregate data regarding any lists used for mistake-prevention programs, including the type of entity and region; E) Rate of erroneous removals (false positives) versus all reviewed content, including the total amount of harm generated by these false positives measured as the predicted total views on the content (i.e., overenforcement); F) Rate of erroneous keep-up decisions (false negatives) on content, including the total amount of harm generated by these false positives, measured as the sum of views the content accrued (i.e., underenforcement)

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta releases annual transparency reporting including these metrics.

PAO on Meta's Cross-Check Policies No.30

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Long Term – Update Q2 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

Building on prior enhancements to our frameworks and metric measurement, we have now advanced our data infrastructure to enable robust tracking and thorough analysis of the program's false-positive prevention system performance. Our data systems are now able to identify, aggregate, and report key metrics, including system-wide data inputs on false-positive measurements requested by the Board, thereby providing a stronger foundation for transparency and accountability in our Mistake Prevention programs. At this time, our primary focus is maintaining the highest standards of data integrity and system stability through rigorous validation and continuous monitoring, ensuring reliability of metrics to prepare them to be confidently shared publicly. After validation of metrics are completed this year, we plan to determine how to best share core metrics and key learnings to the public. This milestone represents meaningful progress in our ability to measure effectiveness and reliability of our Mistake Prevention systems as we work toward full implementation of the Board's recommendations, and we look forward to providing the Board with another update in H2 2026 on how we plan to communicate this information publicly.

RECOMMENDATION

In line with the Board's recommendations five and six in the "Iran protest slogan" case (2022-013-FB-UA), the Board specifies that Meta should publicly share aggregated data in its Transparency Centre about the "spirit of the policy" allowances issued, including the number of instances in which they were issued, and the regions and/or languages affected. Meta should keep this information updated as new "spirit of the policy" allowances are issued.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta makes this information publicly available in the Transparency Center.

A Call for the Donation of Pharmaceutical Drugs to Sri Lanka No.4

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Long Term – Update Q3 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

We continue to consider additional updates to our [existing page](#) detailing our approach to some scaled policy decisions, which includes Newsworthy decisions. We are exploring future iterations to include information about “spirit of the policy decisions” on this page as well. However, we are still considering the best manner of sharing this information externally and/or with the Board, and will provide further updates in the future reports.

II. Policy Recommendations

By Current Status : *Complete* (6)

RECOMMENDATION

Move the prohibition on “derogatory sexualized photoshop” into the Adult Sexual Exploitation Community Standard.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when this section is removed from the Bullying and Harassment policy and is included in the publicly available Adult Sexual Exploitation policy.

Explicit AI Images of Female Public Figures No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [initial response](#) to this recommendation, we noted that we were considering approaches to better capture and remove potentially harmful content that includes manipulated media, which we discussed in more detail in our response to [Explicit AI Images of Female Public Figures No.4](#). We also noted that while we did not expect that this would result in moving the prohibition on “derogatory sexualized photoshop” to our Adult Sexual Exploitation Community Standard from our Bullying and Harassment Community Standard given these the two policies address different types of harms, we were still continuing to assess ways to address the spirit of the Board’s recommendation. In 2025 we made updates to our [Adult Sexual Exploitation](#) policy to clarify that we do not allow content where a, “Person in the imagery is (near) nude, engaged in sexual activity or in a sexually suggestive pose (this includes digitally created or AI-generated imagery).” We also added clarity that we do not allow, “Promoting, threatening to share, or offering to make non-real non-consensual intimate imagery (NCII) either by applications, services, or instructions, even if there is no (near) nude commercial or non-commercial imagery shared in the content (sometimes

referred to as ‘nudify’ apps).” With these updates, we consider this recommendation complete and will have no further updates.

RECOMMENDATION

Harmonize its policies on non-consensual content by adding a new signal for lack of consent in the Adult Sexual Exploitation policy: context that content is AI-generated or manipulated. For content with this specific context, the policy should also specify that it need not be “non-commercial or produced in a private setting” to be violating.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when both the public-facing and private internal guidelines are updated to reflect this change.

Explicit AI Images of Female Public Figures No.4

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

As explained in our update to Recommendation 1, in October 2025 we made a number of updates to our Adult Sexual Exploitation (ASE) policy. These updates further clarified that our Adult Sexual Exploitation policy already does not allow services, applications, or instructions that promote, threaten to share, or offer to make non-real non-consensual intimate imagery (NCII), even if there is no (near) nude commercial or non-commercial imagery shared in the content. We will provide further updates in a future report. Moreover, we made updates to clarify that we do not allow content where a, “person in the imagery is (near) nude, engaged in sexual activity or in a sexually suggestive pose (this includes digitally created or AI-generated imagery).” With these updates and continued clarifying guidance to our teams on how to approach this content, we now consider this recommendation implemented in part and complete.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure clarity for users, Meta should modify the Human Exploitation policy to explicitly state that forced marriages include child marriage and define child marriage in line with international human rights standards to include marriage and informal unions of children under 18 years of age.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates its public-facing Human Exploitation Community Standard to reflect the change and provides updated internal documents demonstrating that the change was implemented.

[Iranian Make-Up Video for a Child Marriage No.1](#) (*Group of 3 recommendations²*)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

We updated our [Human Exploitation Community Standard](#) internal guidance this year, including guidance shared with reviewers, to explicitly state that forced marriages include child marriage. The definition outlines forced marriage, including child marriage, as an institution or practice where marriage occurs without the consent of one or both parties or where one or both of the parties is unable to end or leave the marriage. As part of this update, we also provide detailed examples to clearly indicate that content that either solicits minors for marriage or that solicits adults for child marriages should be considered recruiting people for, facilitating, or exploiting people through forced marriages and therefore removed under our policy. These updates were made in line with human rights considerations. We now consider these three bundled recommendations complete and will provide no further updates.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should report to the Board about its efforts in the last five years to assess whether and how its Violence and Incitement and Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standards

² The Board issued a similar recommendations in recommendations No.2 and No.3 in the [Iranian Make-Up Video for a Child Marriage](#) case. We are tracking the progress of our work in response to this recommendation as part of our response to recommendation No.1 in the Iranian Make-Up Video for a Child Marriage case.

should be modified to account for International Humanitarian Law (IHL) standards, and set out its near-term future plans in this area, consistent with the UNGPs (Principle 12, commentary), which calls on companies to consider IHL standards in their operations.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta shares this information with the Board

Posts Sharing Speeches in Syrian Conflict No.3

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our initial response we noted that as with prior reports, we planned to provide details and examples about how we incorporate human rights, which may include International Humanitarian Law (IHL) standards and the United Nations Guiding Principles, into our policies in our upcoming Human Rights Report. Since responding to this recommendation we have also shared the [latest report for 2024](#) and delivered our fourth briefing on the report to the Board. While our human rights commitments remain ongoing we now consider this recommendation complete and will continue to update the Board on via our reports and relevant stakeholder engagement opportunities.

By Current Status : *In Progress* (34)

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should define graphic depiction and sexualization in the Child Sexual Exploitation, Nudity and Abuse Community Standard. Meta should make clear that not all explicit language constitutes graphic depiction or sexualization and explain the difference between legal, clinical or medical terms and graphic content. Meta should also provide a clarification for distinguishing child sexual exploitation and reporting on child sexual exploitation.

The Board will consider the recommendation implemented when language defining key terms and the distinction has been added to the Community Standards.

Post Describing Sexual Violence Against Minors No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

This recommendation requires balancing considerations such as child safety, dignity, and expression, and in [prior reports](#), we also highlighted that we have considered external engagements and internal work with expert teams to understand how to implement aspects of this recommendation. This feedback has largely indicated that there is no clear line for ‘explicit’, and that there is not one universally accepted list of clinical, legal, and/or medical terms that could be relied upon to determine the line between descriptive and explicit language. However, we have worked to consider all of this feedback in broader updates to our Child Sexual Exploitation, Nudity and Abuse (CSEAN) policies and are in the final stages of considering and implementing a number of internal updates to this policy.

RECOMMENDATION

To provide more clarity to users, Meta should explain in the landing page of the Community Standards, in the same way the company does with the newsworthiness allowance, that allowances to the Community Standards may be made when their rationale, and Meta's values, demand a different outcome than a strict reading of the rules. The company should include a link to a Transparency Centre page which provides information about the "spirit of the policy" allowance.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when an explanation is added to the Community Standards.

[A Call for the Donation of Pharmaceutical Drugs to Sri Lanka No.1³](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As we also note in recommendations [No.3](#) and [No.4](#) from A Call for the Donation of Pharmaceutical Drugs to Sri Lanka, we are still considering ways to incorporate details about "spirit of the policy decisions" in future iterations of the Transparency Center page on Newsworthy decisions. We will provide further information in the next report.

RECOMMENDATION

In line with the Board's recommendations five and six in the "Iran protest slogan" case (2022-013-FB-UA), the Board specifies that Meta should publish information about the "spirit of the policy" allowance in its Transparency Centre, similar to the information it has published on the newsworthiness allowance. In the Transparency Centre, Meta should: (i) explain that "spirit of the policy" allowances can be either scaled or narrow; (ii) publicize examples of content which benefited from this allowance; (iii) provide criteria Meta uses to determine when to scale "spirit of

³ The Board reiterated this recommendation in recommendation No.2 in the [Video of Communal Violence in the Indian State of Odisha](#) case

the policy" allowances; and (iv) include a list of all "spirit of the policy" allowances Meta has issued at scale in the past three years with explanations of why Meta decided to issue and terminate each of them. Meta should keep this list updated as new allowances are issued.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta makes this information publicly available in the Transparency Center.

[A Call for the Donation of Pharmaceutical Drugs to Sri Lanka No.3](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As noted in recommendations [No.1](#) and [No.4](#) from A Call for the Donation of Pharmaceutical Drugs to Sri Lanka, we are still considering ways to incorporate details about "spirit of the policy decisions" in future iterations of the Transparency Center page on Newsworthy decisions. We will provide further information in the next report.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should update its newsworthiness allowance policy to state that content that directly incites violence is not eligible for a newsworthiness allowance, subject to existing policy exceptions.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta publishes an updated policy on newsworthy content explicitly setting out this limitation on the allowance.

[Video of Cambodian Prime Minister No.2](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
---------------------	--------------------	----------------

Implementing Fully

Implementing Fully

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As we shared in our [last update](#), we are still in the process of assessing updates to language related to how we approach newsworthy content related to violence and incitement in line with other broader policy updates. We will provide updates in a future report to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure clarity for users, Meta should explain that the term “medical condition,” as used in the Bullying and Harassment Community Standard, includes “serious physical injury.” While the internal guidance explains to content moderators that “medical condition” includes “serious physical injury,” this explanation is not provided to Meta’s users.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when the public-facing language of the Community Standard is amended to include this clarification.

[Image of Gender Based Violence No.1](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

UPDATED COMMITMENT

CURRENT STATUS

Implementing Fully

Implementing Fully

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As shared in our [previous update](#), we are still in the process of reviewing our external [Bullying and Harassment](#) Community Standards with new policy lines, including clarifying language that “medical condition” includes “serious physical injury” in line with internal guidance. We will provide an update in the next report.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should clarify the meaning of the “paid partnership” labels in its Transparency Center and Instagram’s Help Center. That includes explaining the role of business partners in the approval of “paid partnership” labels.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta's Branded Content policies have been updated to reflect these clarifications.

Experience with Ketamine as a Medical Treatment No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As with [prior reports](#), we are continuing to implement this complex update in line with prior harmonization and recommendation related updates. We ultimately intend to clarify our explanation of “paid partnerships” in our [Branded Content](#) policies. Though we have completed a number of updates towards harmonization, this work remains in progress, and we will provide additional updates in the next report.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should stop presuming that the word "shaheed", when used to refer to a designated individual or unnamed members of designated organizations, is always violating and ineligible for policy exceptions. Content referring to a designated individual as "shaheed" should be removed as an "unclear reference" in only two situations. First, when one or more of three signals of violence are present: a visual depiction of an armament/weapon, a statement of intent or advocacy to use or carry an armament/weapon, or a reference to a designated event. Second, when the content otherwise violates Meta's policies (e.g. for glorification or because the reference to a designated individual remains unclear for reasons other than use of "shaheed"). In either scenario, content should still be eligible for the "reporting on, neutrally discussing and condemning" exceptions.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta publicly updates its Community Standards to specify that references to designated individuals as "shaheed" are not allowed when one or more of the three listed signals of violence are present.

PAO on the Treatment of the Term “Shaheed” No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

We have completed updates to our internal guidance around the use of the term “shaheed,” but are still finalizing external updates to mirror this change. There are a number of external DOI Policy updates we are currently working on in line with this, and we hope to make these updates together to ensure continuity and readability of our policies. Our update will explain that we allow content that refers to deceased designated individuals as “Shaheed” as social and political discourse, including as part of allowing content in the context of news reporting, neutral discussion, or condemnation. However, we remove content with “Shaheed” if it is shared outside the above contexts and if it includes visual depiction of a weapon, a statement of intent or advocacy to use or carry an armament or weapons, or a reference to a designated event.

RECOMMENDATION

To clarify the prohibition on "unclear references", Meta should include several examples of violating content, including a post referring to a designated individual as "shaheed" combined with one or more of the three signals of violence specified in recommendation no. 1.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta publicly updates its Community Standards with examples of "unclear references”.

PAO on the Treatment of the Term “Shaheed” No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Alongside other planned [Dangerous Organizations and Individuals](#) Community Standards updates, we are in the process of introducing examples to our external policy in line with this recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION

To provide greater clarity to users, Meta should clarify the scope of the policy exception under the Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard, which allows for content “reporting on, neutrally discussing or condemning dangerous organizations and individuals or their activities” to be shared in the context of “social and political discourse.” Specifically, Meta should clarify how this policy exception relates to election-related content.

The Board will consider this implemented when Meta makes this clarification change in its Community Standard.

Greek 2023 Elections Campaign Bundle No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

We are in the process of finalizing updates to our [Dangerous Organizations and Individuals](#) Community Standards and Transparency Center pages. These updates aim to clarify our approach to content related to social and political discourse. Consistent with our response to the PAO on

the [Treatment of the Term “Shaheed”](#) recommendation No.2, the revised policy will incorporate examples that align with the current external policy structure. Further updates will be provided in the next report.

RECOMMENDATION

To provide more clarity to users, Meta should hyperlink the U.S. Foreign Terrorist Organizations and Specially Designated Global Terrorists lists in its Community Standards, where these lists are mentioned.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta makes these changes to the Community Standards.

[Sudan’s Rapid Support Forces Video Captive No.3](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Along with the planned Community Standards updates in response to other Board related DOI recommendations , we intend to make an update to our [Dangerous Organizations and Individuals](#) policy with links to the U.S. Foreign Terrorist Organizations and Specially Designated Global Terrorists lists.

RECOMMENDATION

To better inform users when policy exceptions could be granted, Meta should create a new section within each Community Standard detailing what exceptions and allowances apply. When Meta has specific rationale for not allowing certain exceptions that apply to other policies (such as news

reporting or awareness raising), Meta should include that rationale in this section of the Community Standard.

The Board will consider this implemented when each Community Standard includes the described section and rationales for exceptions that do and do not apply.

News Documentary on Child Abuse in Pakistan No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Over time, we have made a number of updates to our policy rationales and Community Standards detailing when certain policies apply. Additionally, we are continuing to assess how we can make allowances more clear in other areas of our Transparency Center, but are still considering different options. We will share an update in a future report to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

Change the word “derogatory” in the prohibition on “derogatory sexualized photoshop” to “non-consensual.”

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when the word “non-consensual” replaces the word “derogatory” in the prohibition on derogatory sexualized content in the publicly available Community Standards.

Explicit AI Images of Female Public Figures No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
---------------------	--------------------	----------------

Assessing Feasibility

Assessing Feasibility

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [initial response](#) to this recommendation and the related ones from these Board decisions, we noted that we are continuing to consider ways to clarify our policy approach to “derogatory sexualized photoshop” within our Bullying and Harassment Community Standard. While we do not expect to change this to “non-consensual” given we address non-consensual intimate imagery (NCII) as part of our [Adult Sexual Exploitation](#) (ASE) policy, which differs from “derogatory sexualized” content, we have made updates to our ASE policy that further refine our approach to AI-generated imagery.

RECOMMENDATION

Replace the word “photoshop” in the prohibition on “derogatory sexualized photoshop” with a more generalized term for manipulated media.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when the word “photoshop” is removed from the prohibition on “derogatory sexualized” content and replaced with a more generalized term, such as “manipulated media.”

[Explicit AI Images of Female Public Figures No.3](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

UPDATED COMMITMENT

CURRENT STATUS

Implementing Fully

Implementing Fully

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

We are still in the process of implementing updates to language regarding our approach to “derogatory sexualized photoshop” as part of our [Bullying and Harassment](#) policy to more clearly encompass manipulated media. As noted in our initial response, we will continue to remove

content, AI-generated or not, when it otherwise violates our Community Standards. We will provide updates on this work in a future report.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should update the Violence and Incitement policy to provide a general definition for “high-risk persons” clarifying that high-risk persons encompass people, like political leaders, who may be at higher risk of assassination or other violence and provide illustrative examples.

The Board will consider this implemented when the public-facing language of the violence and incitement policy reflects this proposed change.

Statements About the Japanese Prime Minister No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As noted in [previous updates](#), the scope and breadth of this work is broad and we are considering it in line with other ongoing work in Violence and Incitement. We will provide an update in the next report.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should update its internal guidelines to at-scale reviewers about calls for death using the specific phrase “death to” when directed against high-risk persons, this update should allow posts that, in the local context and language, express disdain or disagreement through non-serious and casual ways of threatening violence.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta shares relevant data on the reduction of false positives identification of content containing calls for death using the specific phrase “death to” when directed against high-risk persons.

Statements About the Japanese Prime Minister No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As noted in our response above from A [Cartoon Depicting Iran’s Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei No.1](#), we are continuing to evaluate our Violence and Incitement policy approach to “calls for death”, including statements such as “death to.” As part of this work, we collaborate with external experts, conduct internal working groups, and work with internal teams such as our product and enforcement teams to understand the impact of policy updates before we implement them. We are incorporating all feedback, including the Board’s, into our process, but will provide updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

Hyperlink to its Bullying and Harassment definition of public figures in the Violence and Incitement policy, and other relevant Community Standards, where such figures are referenced.

Statements About the Japanese Prime Minister No.3

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

We intend to make this update in line with Recommendations [No.1](#) and [No.2](#) on the Statements About the Japanese Prime Minister case, and will provide an update on our progress in the next report.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure safety for targets of blasphemy accusations, Meta should update the Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime policy to make clear that users must not post accusations of blasphemy against identifiable individuals in locations where blasphemy is a crime and/or there are significant safety risks to persons accused of blasphemy.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates its public-facing Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime Community Standard to reflect the change.

[Pakistan Political Candidate Accused of Blasphemy No.1](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing in Part	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In prior reports, we explained that after careful assessment we are now considering an update to partially implement this recommendation by clarifying language in our [Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime](#) Community Standard. With our update, we intend to clarify why we remove outing risk groups at scale, namely that we remove outing when exposure of affiliation to a group carries an associated risk to the personal safety of its members. We are in the process of finalizing language to add to our [Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime](#) Community Standard to provide more details. We will provide an update in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To protect children’s rights and to avoid Meta’s reliance on the spirit of the policy allowance, the company should expand the definition of facilitation in its internal guidelines to include the provision of any type of material aid (which include “services”) to enable exploitation.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides updated internal documents demonstrating that the change was implemented.

Iranian Make-Up Video for a Child Marriage No.4

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

While we completed updates to our internal Human Exploitation Community Standard guidelines, we are still in the initial stages of work on refining our approach to “facilitation”. We will provide updates in future reports to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should update the Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime policy’s at-scale prohibition on “outing” to include illustrative examples of “outing-risk groups,” including LGBTQIA+ people in countries where same-sex relations are forbidden and/or such disclosures create significant safety risks.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when the public-facing language of the Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime policy reflects the proposed change.

Homophobic Violence in West Africa No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In prior reports, we explained that after careful assessment, we are now considering an update to implement this recommendation in part by clarifying language in our [Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime](#) Community Standard. With our update, we intend to clarify why we remove outing risk groups at scale, namely that we remove outing when exposure of affiliation to a group carries an associated risk to the personal safety of its members. We are in the process of finalizing language to add to our [Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime](#) Community Standard to provide more details. We will provide an update in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure its Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard is tailored to advance its aims, Meta should allow, with a “Mark as Disturbing” warning screen, third-party imagery of a designated event showing the moment of attacks on visible but not personally identifiable victims when shared in news reporting, condemnation and awareness-raising contexts.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates the public-facing Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard in accordance with the above.

Footage of Moscow Terrorist Attack No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As part of this recommendation and discussion from policy development related to third-party imagery of a designated event depicting the moment of attack in the context of news reporting, condemnation, or awareness raising; we are assessing the feasibility of allowing content with no identifiable victims with a “Mark as Disturbing” screen. However, this remains complex to implement technically and in a manner that allows rapid response to moments of crisis, and therefore we are considering a range of options for implementation. We will provide an update in a future report.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure clarity, Meta should include a rule under the “We remove” section of the Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard and move the explanation of how Meta treats content depicting designated events out of the policy rationale section and into this section.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates the public-facing Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard moving the rule on footage of designated events to the “We remove” section of the policy.

[Footage of Moscow Terrorist Attack No.2](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As mentioned in previous responses such as in our response to the [Greek 2023 Elections Campaign Bundle No.1](#) recommendation in this report, we plan to update our Community Standards with further details explaining our approach to Violating Violent Events in conjunction with other planned updates to our [Dangerous Organizations and Individuals](#) Community Standard. We will share updates in a future report to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

As part of its ongoing human rights due diligence, Meta should take all of the following steps in respect of the January 7, 2025, updates to the Hateful Conduct Community Standard. First, it should identify how the policy and enforcement updates may adversely impact the rights of LGBTQIA+ people, including minors, especially where these populations are at heightened risk. Second, Meta should adopt measures to prevent and/or mitigate these risks and monitor their effectiveness. Third, Meta should update the Board on its progress and learnings every six months, and report on this publicly at the earliest opportunity.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides the Board with robust data and analysis on the effectiveness of its prevention or mitigation measures on the cadence outlined above and when Meta reports on this publicly.

Gender Identity Debate Videos No.1 *(Group of 3 recommendations⁴)*

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our initial response and the most recent report, we reiterated that Meta conducts ongoing, integrated, human rights due diligence to identify, prevent, mitigate and address potential adverse human rights impacts related to our policies, products and operations in line with the UNGPs, related guidance, and our human rights policy. Ahead of the January 7th changes, we assessed the risks of the changes and took into account relevant mitigations, such as the availability of other policies and user reports to address potentially harmful content.

We have begun considering options for addressing aspects of this recommendation, but continue to assess their feasibility. We plan to share a more detailed update in our next report with the Board.

⁴The Board issued a similar recommendation in recommendations No.1 in the [Criticism of EU Migration Policies and Immigrants](#) and [Posts Displaying South Africa's Apartheid-Era Flag](#) cases. We are tracking the progress of our work in response to this recommendation as part of our response to recommendation No.1 in the Gender Identity Debate Videos

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure Meta’s content policies are framed neutrally and in line with international human rights standards, Meta should remove the term “transgenderism” from the Hateful Conduct policy and corresponding implementation guidance.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when the term no longer appears in Meta’s content policies or implementation guidance.

Gender Identity Debate Videos No. 2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Our Community Standards, including our Hateful Conduct Community Standard, provides guidance about what can and cannot be discussed on Meta’s platforms. As we explain in our policy, we define hateful conduct as direct attacks against people, rather than concepts or institutions. We are continuing to assess the feasibility of implementing this recommendation, to provide clarity in our Community Standards about what we do and do not allow even if it includes potentially offensive terminology. We will share an update in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To improve the clarity of its Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard, Meta should adopt a single, clear and comprehensive explanation of how its prohibitions and exceptions under this Community Standard apply to designated hateful ideologies.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta adopts a single, clear and comprehensive explanation of its rule and exceptions related to designated hateful ideologies (under “we remove”).

Posts Displaying South Africa’s Apartheid-Era Flag No. 2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [prior responses](#), we stated that we will update our [Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard](#) to clarify our approach to content involving designated hateful ideologies. In line with prior commitments to the Board to update our Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard, we also plan to clarify that content that glorifies, supports, represents, or references a hateful ideology violates this policy, in line with our policy rationale. We are considering these updates alongside broader updates to our DOI Policy from prior Oversight Board recommendations, and will share an update in future reports to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

To improve the clarity of its Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard, Meta should list apartheid as a standalone designated hateful ideology in the rules.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta adds apartheid to its list of designated hateful ideologies.

Posts Displaying South Africa’s Apartheid-Era Flag No. 3

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
---------------------	--------------------	----------------

Assessing Feasibility

Assessing Feasibility

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

We remain dedicated to our [initial response](#) to this recommendation, where we committed to conduct an initial assessment to better understand how the term “apartheid” is used on our platforms and consider next steps based on our findings. We are considering this in line with other 2026 policy priorities, and will provide updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To improve the clarity of its Violence and Incitement Community Standard, Meta should specify that all high-severity threats of violence against places are prohibited, as well as against people.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates the Violence and Incitement Community Standard.

Posts Supporting UK Riots No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

UPDATED COMMITMENT

CURRENT STATUS

Assessing Feasibility

Assessing Feasibility

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our June 2025 [response](#), we committed to considering updates to our [Violence and Incitement Community Standard](#) to clarify that we remove high-severity threats of violence against some places when they are occupied by people. We also reiterated our existing policy approaches to this content, including our internal guidelines covering places and locations occupied by people as a valid target under the Violence and Incitement policy. This includes calls to burn down a building or bomb a street. Moreover, we highlighted that we treat threats against places where people are not present as vandalism under our [Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime](#) policy. We will continue

to consider ways to update our external policy in line with our Violence and Incitement recommendations, and provide updates in a future report.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure that its Violence and Incitement Community Standard clearly captures how veiled threats can occur across text and imagery, Meta should clarify that threats made out of “coded statements,” even “where the method of violence is not clearly articulated,” are prohibited in written, visual and verbal form.

The Board will consider this implemented when the public-facing language of the Violence and Incitement Community Standard reflects the proposed change.

Content Targeting Human Rights Defender in Peru No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [initial response](#), we noted that we will assess ways to further clarify our approach to written, visual, and verbal signals in our assessments of veiled threats as part of broader updates to our Violence and Incitement policy. Given the recency of this recommendation and general scope of V&I updates, we expect that this work will take time. We will provide updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To allow users to condemn, report and raise awareness of non-sexual child abuse, Meta should include an exception in its public-facing Child Sexual Exploitation, Abuse and Nudity Community Standard allowing images and videos of non-sexual child abuse perpetrated by adults, when

shared with this intent. Content should be allowed with a "mark as disturbing" warning screen and restricted visibility to users aged 18 and older. In these cases, children must neither be directly identifiable (by name or image), nor functionally identifiable (when contextual clues are likely to lead to the identification of the individual). This exception should be applied on escalation only.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates the public-facing Child Sexual Exploitation, Abuse and Nudity Community Standard in accordance with the above.

Videos of Teachers Hitting Children No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Our initial [response](#) to this recommendation noted that we are considering updates to our policies to allow condemnation, reporting, or awareness raising images and videos of non-sexual child abuse perpetuated by adults when children in the content are not directly or functionally identifiable. This would require certain protections, like “Mark As Sensitive” warning screens and age-restrictions. We are considering allowing this content on escalation as part of our context-specific policies as we assess the feasibility of this recommendation. We will share updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To better protect expression, while respecting the rights of Indigenous Peoples and their members, Meta should make public its Adult Nudity and Sexual Activity policy exception allowing content depicting bare-chested indigenous women in some circumstances. This exception should be applied on escalation only, and should allow such nudity where it reflects socially accepted custom and belief, and does not misrepresent these practices.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates the public-facing Adult Nudity and Sexual Activity Community Standard with the policy exception.

Posts Containing Images of Partially Nude Indigenous Women No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [initial response](#), we noted that we will explore updates to our [Adult Nudity & Sexual Activity](#) Community Standard to further clarify our escalation and context-specific policy approach to allow content of bare-chested indigenous women in specific circumstances. This work remains in progress and we will provide updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To provide more clarity to users, Meta should make public the internal definition of “references” and define its subcategories under the Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates the public-facing Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard.

Symbols Adopted by Dangerous Organizations No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

As we noted in our [initial response](#) we plan to update our Community Standards to more clearly describe our approach to references. We expect that this may take some time to fully implement as we plan to incorporate it as part of an ongoing workstream. We will provide updates in future reports to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure people can access critical information during crises and armed conflicts to help them stay safe, Meta should add a policy lever to the CPP that allows the platform to mitigate information asymmetries its policies may create. This could include policy levers such as: suspending the prohibition on sharing information from designated entities involved in the conflict; suspending strikes or reducing feature limits where content is found violating for unclear intent; providing education to users on how to share information about designated entities in permissible ways. When these policy levers are invoked, the measure must be made public.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta shares with the Board both the updated CPP and the resulting criteria for deploying these policy levers in situations of armed conflict.

Posts Sharing Speeches in Syrian Conflict No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [initial response](#), we committed to assessing the feasibility of adding a lever to the Crisis Policy Protocol (CPP) that addresses the Board's recommendation, which includes potentially adding a lever related to information shared from designated entities. This work remains in progress and we will share updates in future reports.

By Current Status : *Long Term* (3)

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should establish clear and public criteria for list-based mistake-prevention eligibility. These criteria should differentiate between users who merit additional protection from a human rights perspective and those included for business reasons.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta releases a report or Transparency Center update detailing the criteria for list-based enhanced review eligibility for the different categories of users the program will enroll.

PAO on Meta’s Cross-Check Policies No.4

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Long Term – Update Q2 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

We are continuing to expand the cross-check program and formalize review processes to ensure minimal false positive enforcements on sensitive secondary review entities. As we refine our eligibility criteria and governance framework, our focus remains on clearly distinguishing between entities protected for human rights reasons and those included for business considerations. Once finalized, we will update our Mistake Prevention page in the [Transparency Center](#) to provide public clarity on these criteria.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta's Community Standards should accurately reflect its policies. To better inform users of the types of statements that are prohibited, Meta should amend the Violence and Incitement Community Standard to (i) explain that rhetorical threats such as "death to X" statements are generally permitted, except when the target of the threat is a high-risk person; (ii) include an

illustrative list of high-risk persons, explaining that they may include heads of state; (iii) provide criteria for when threatening statements directed at heads of state are permitted to protect clearly rhetorical political speech in protest contexts that does not incite to violence, taking language and context into account, in accordance with the principles outlined in this decision.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when the public-facing language of the Violence and Incitement Community Standard reflects the proposed change, and when Meta shares internal guidelines with the Board that are consistent with the public-facing policy.

Cartoon Depicting Iran’s Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	Long Term – Update Q1 2027

H2 2025 UPDATE

We are continuing to assess our Violence and Incitement policy approach to “calls for death”, including statements such as “death to.” This assessment may include considerations from external experts, internal working groups, or work with our product and enforcement teams to understand the impact of policy updates before we implement them. We are incorporating all feedback, including the Board’s, into our process, but have not yet made updates and are still in the process of determining next steps. Given the iterative and complex nature of this work, we will move this recommendation to the long term category and will provide updates in future reports to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should amend its Hate Speech Community Standard, adding the section marked as “new” below. The amended Hate Speech Community Standard would then include the following or other substantially similar language to that effect: “Do not post – Tier 1 – Content targeting a person or group of people (including all groups except those who are considered non-protected groups described as having carried out violent crimes or sexual offenses or representing less than half of a group) on the basis of their aforementioned protected characteristic(s) or immigration status in

written or visual form with dehumanizing speech in the form of comparisons to or generalizations about criminals: Sexual Predators; Violent Criminals; Other Criminals [NEW] Except when the actors (e.g., police, military, army, soldiers, government, state officials) and/or crimes (e.g., atrocity crimes or grave human rights violations, such as those specified in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court) imply a reference to state rather than targeting people based on nationality.” The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates the public-facing Hate Speech Community Standard and shares the updated specific guidance with its reviewers.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta updates the public-facing Hate Speech Community Standard and shares the updated specific guidance with its reviewers.

Criminal Allegations Based on Nationality No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	Long Term – Update Q1 2027

H2 2025 UPDATE

In prior reports and responses, we committed to scoping for policy development in the future to consider refinements to our approach to criminal allegations when content may refer to a state rather than a group of people based on nationality. As we noted, conducting this work will take significant time. With this in mind, we are shifting our label to long-term assessing feasibility and will continue to provide updates to the Oversight Board in future reports.

III. Enforcement Recommendations

By Current Status : *Complete* (7)

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure that inaccurately banked content is quickly removed from Meta’s Media Matching Service banks, Meta should set and adhere to standards that limit the time between when banked content is identified for re-review and when, if deemed non-violating, it is removed from the bank. The board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta: (i) sets and discloses to the board its goal time between when a re-review is triggered and when the non-violating content is restored, and (ii) provides the Board with data demonstrating its progress in meeting this goal over the next year.

Cartoon Depicting Violence by the Police in Colombia No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

In H2 2025, we confidentially shared the finalized documentation (“Media Match Service (MMS) Banks Spike Detection Overview”) with the Board, reflecting the updated internal expectations and best practices for reviewing content with high volumes of potential matches in our MMS (Media Matching Service) banks.

The guidance outlines our process for reviewing flagged content and reflects our commitment to ensuring accuracy enforcement. When our system detects a high volume of matches for banked content, we temporarily pause enforcement to allow for review. If the review is not completed within our targeted turnaround time, enforcement is permanently suspended to prevent over-enforcement. If banked content is determined to be non-violating during review, the content

is removed from the bank and enforcement is not taken. These updates were made to strengthen our approach and provide clarity for teams and stakeholders.

We now consider the recommendation complete and will have no further updates.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should establish clear criteria and processes for audit. Should entities no longer meet the eligibility criteria, they should be promptly removed from the system. Meta should review all included entities in any mistake prevention system at least yearly. There should also be clear protocols to shorten that period where warranted.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides the Board with data on the amount, type of entity, and reason for removal from entity lists as a result of audits, along with a timeline for conducting audits periodically.

PAO on Meta’s Cross-Check Policies No.11

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

We have successfully achieved our commitment to expanding the smart review process, which is an automated system that enqueues entities enrolled in our list-based Sensitive Entity Secondary Review (SSR) system for reevaluation before their enrollment expires, to all entities enrolled in SSR for historical over-enforcement. This process has replaced the annual re-evaluation and ensures the rapid identification of entities with frequent instances of true positive violations, and escalates them for immediate review for removal from the SSR Program.

We have continued to provide consistent manual re-evaluation of entities in other categories such as entities related to significant world events, or those who temporarily require higher context upon review with an existing governing process which includes a combination of automatic and manual evaluation. As noted in our [previous update](#), expanding the smart review process to

historically over-enforced entities concludes our commitment and we now consider this recommendation complete and will have no further updates

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should use trends in overturn rates to inform whether to default to the original enforcement within a shorter time frame or what other enforcement action to apply pending review. If overturn rates are consistently low for particular subsets of policy violations or content in particular languages, for example, Meta should continually calibrate how quickly and how intrusive an enforcement measure it should apply.

PAO on Meta's Cross-Check Policies No.27

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [last update](#) we specified that we intended to develop our understanding of overturn rates in our content approval process, in addition to exploring further insights that would enable us to improve decision-making efficiency. We also maintain that, while we have committed to better tracking trends in overturn rates as one input of many, enforcement decisions should not be solely based on historical overturn data as this metric would omit enforcement actions based on policy and enforcement exceptions, such as the application of escalation policies.

We have now completed work with a cross-functional task force to develop a dashboard that enables us to holistically capture developing trends and insights to improve our enforcement protocols. This dashboard dataset includes historical overturn rates as one trend of many that we're tracking to assess appropriate enforcement measures. While we will continue to refine this data to identify potential areas of improvement, we now consider this recommendation complete and will have no further updates.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should notify Instagram users when a warning screen is applied to their content and provide the specific policy rationale for doing so. The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta confirms that notifications are provided to Instagram users in all languages supported by the platform.

Aftermath of an Attack on Church in Nigeria No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

We are continuously improving our efforts to [provide context on sensitive or misleading content](#), even if it doesn't explicitly violate the [Community Standards](#). We have maintained [transparency](#) regarding how we use warning screens to ensure that this context is provided across Facebook and Instagram. Additionally, [Community Notes](#) serve as a mechanism to draw on a broad range of voices on our platform to determine what content is potentially misleading or confusing and could benefit from additional information.

While we don't explicitly notify users when a warning screen is applied to their content, we clearly outline our [Content Distribution Guidelines](#), which describe the types of content that may be problematic or low quality, and therefore are reduced in distribution in Feed for our users. These guidelines elaborate on [how we adapt our systems in response to heightened risk](#).

We have enabled our systems to send notifications when we [remove a user's content](#), alerting users that their post has received a notice and is lowered in the feed. Additionally, we clearly outline how we use personalisation to reduce the distribution of content that doesn't violate our policies but may be considered "borderline," by expanding on our [personalisation approach](#).

We maintain transparency on these changes and guidelines through our update [changes, corrections, and adjustments log](#), ensuring that users are continuously aware of material adjustments that may alter their experiences. Our work to notify users about enforcement processes is multi-layered and involves responding to people's direct feedback and [fostering a](#)

safer community by reducing exposure to content that's likely to violate our Community Standards.

We will continue to pursue this work to ensure that users receive the most appropriate updates regarding enforcement and experiences across our platforms, and provide transparency through our reports and transparency centre. Given our multiple efforts to adequately notify users about our enforcement processes and our explanation of how we use warning screens in particular, we consider this recommendation complete and will not provide further updates.

RECOMMENDATION

To enhance its automated detection and prioritization of content potentially violating the Dangerous Organizations and Individuals policy for human review, Meta should audit the training data used in its video content understanding classifier to evaluate whether it has sufficiently diverse examples of content supporting designated organizations in the context of armed conflicts, including different languages, dialects, regions and conflicts.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides the Board with detailed results of its audit and the necessary improvements that the company will implement as a result.

Sudan's Rapid Support Forces Video Captive No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

In line with our ongoing commitment to transparency and fairness, we have completed a review of the training data supporting our Dangerous Organizations and Individuals (DOI) video classifiers. This review focused on ensuring diversity across languages, dialects, regions, and conflict contexts, as recommended by the Oversight Board. Our analysis confirmed that the training data encompasses a broad spectrum of countries and violation types, reflecting the global nature of the

content we moderate. We have worked to balance representation and address previously identified areas of underrepresentation.

We remain committed to upholding our commitment to transparency on our enforcement techniques and relevant training infrastructure through additional [regulatory reports](#) such as the EU Terrorist Content Online Transparency Report where we expand on how we amplify the diversity of the sources we use to detect dangerous organizations and terrorist content via a combination of human review processes and a series of automated techniques. With the completion of this review and our ongoing transparency commitments through our reports, we consider the Board’s recommendation complete. We will continue to uphold high standards of fairness and transparency in our content moderation practices and will confidentially share further insights with the Board on our review of the relevant training data.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure adequate enforcement of the Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime policy line against blasphemy accusations in locations where such accusations pose an imminent risk of harm to the person accused, Meta should train at-scale reviewers covering such locations and provide them with more specific enforcement guidance to effectively identify and consider nuance and context in posts containing blasphemy allegations.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides updated internal documents demonstrating that the training of at-scale reviewers to better detect this type of content occurred.

[Pakistan Political Candidate Accused of Blasphemy No.2](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

As part of this recommendation, we conducted additional reviewer training on existing guidance for accusations of blasphemy under the [Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime](#) Community Standard. In response to the Board’s feedback, we updated our training materials to include region-specific examples of accusations of blasphemy as well as final assessments for reviewers to

ensure reviewers understand the policy’s nuances. The training is completed and we now consider this recommendation complete and will provide no further updates.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should add the term “murzyn” to its Polish market slur list.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta informs the Board this has been done.

Criticism of EU Migration Policies and Immigrants No. 2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Implementing in Part	Complete

H2 2025 UPDATE

We assessed the term “murzyn” through our slur designation process, and ultimately determined that it should be considered a proxy term. As we note in our Hateful Conduct Community Standard that sometimes, based on local nuance, we consider certain words or phrases as frequently used proxies for protected characteristics. Therefore, an attack targeting a proxy term of a group protected by the policy would violate. We now consider this recommendation complete and will have no further updates.

By Current Status : *In Progress* (13)

RECOMMENDATION

To improve transparency around Meta’s enforcement, Meta should share the results of the internal audits it conducts to assess the accuracy of human review and performance of automated systems in the enforcement of its Hate Speech policy with the public. It should provide the results in a way that allows these assessments to be compared across languages and/or regions.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta includes the accuracy assessment results as described in the recommendation in its Transparency Center and in the Community Standards Enforcement Reports.

Criminal Allegations Based on Nationality No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

Implementing in Part

UPDATED COMMITMENT

Implementing in Part

CURRENT STATUS

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Since our [previous update](#), we have continued to evolve our enforcement and measurement approach, including for the Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime policy. Building on our company-wide shift away from automated detection in favor of user reports, and in support of our efforts to reduce mistakes and improve accuracy, we have now launched the initial version of a new metric system designed to better assess enforcement precision and reviewer effectiveness.

We anticipate ongoing improvements and incremental updates over the year as we refine our processes and measurement capabilities to ensure greater accuracy and reliability.

We will continue to keep the Oversight Board informed of our progress and share performance data as the new system matures.

RECOMMENDATION

When Meta audits its slur lists, it should ensure it carries out broad external engagement with relevant stakeholders. This should include consulting with impacted groups and civil society.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta amends its explanation of how it audits and updates its market-specific slur lists on its Transparency Center.

Criticism of EU Migration Policies and Immigrants No. 3

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Since our [previous update](#), the Global Operations and Content Policy Trusted Partner teams have formalized and launched the process for integrating external stakeholder input into the upcoming annual audit of market-specific slur lists.

Our Trusted Partner team has now engaged with Trusted Partners in high-risk countries, soliciting their recommendations on terms for addition. Currently, insights from these Trusted Partners are being compiled and will be shared with Global Operations teams, including regional experts and Policy teams, for review as part of the updated audit process.

In the coming months, our teams will continue consulting with Trusted Partners on contested terms included in the audit as feedback is relayed to Global Operations and Content Policy teams for completion of the audit. Upon which, our slur lists will be updated and Trusted Partners will receive a summary of their impact. Once the audit is complete and the page in the [Transparency Center](#) has been updated, we will provide an update to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

To reduce the reporting burden on targets of Bullying and Harassment, Meta should allow users to designate connected accounts, which are able to flag potential Bullying and Harassment violations requiring self-reporting on their behalf.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta makes these features available and easily accessible to all users via their account settings.

Gender Identity Debate Videos No. 3

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our prior updates to this recommendation, we committed to assessing if there are ways that we may leverage existing tools for reporting content while still maintaining self-reporting as a key contextual signal for understanding if content may be considered as bullying and harassment by an individual as opposed to legitimate discussions and speech. However, we also explained that allowing others to report on behalf of a person is technically difficult given the way our review systems function at scale and may be subject to abuse. We remain committed to working across Product, Policy, Partnerships, and Operations teams to identify any possible avenues for additional opportunities to provide services in instances where users may suddenly become public figures or highly visible on our platforms.

This work remains in the assessing stages, and we will provide updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure there are fewer enforcement errors on Bullying and Harassment violations requiring self-reporting, Meta should ensure the one report representing multiple reports on the same content is chosen based on the highest likelihood of a match between the reporter and the content's target. In doing this Meta should guarantee that any technological solutions account for potential adverse impacts on at-risk groups.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides sufficient data to validate the efficacy of improvements in the enforcement of self-reports of Bullying and Harassment violations as a result of this change.

Gender Identity Debate Videos No. 4

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Implementing in Part	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In alignment with our [initial update](#), we're continuing to assess how to refine our enforcement technology to improve the identification and review of enforcement errors. Our approach involves working with our tooling teams to understand which solutions are viable and how they can be integrated with our existing [enforcement technology](#), while considering the rapid pace of technological change and the evolving priorities that shape [how review teams work](#). These refinements require ongoing consultation with our tooling teams to explore new possibilities. We are continuing this discovery work to determine what can be achieved, particularly in more specific contexts where tailored solutions may be more effective, and we will provide updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To improve clarity to reviewers of its Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community Standard, Meta should provide more global examples to reviewers of prohibited glorification, support and representation of hateful ideologies, including examples that do not directly name the listed ideology.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides updated internal guidance to the Board including more global examples, including ones that do not directly name the listed ideology.

Posts Displaying South Africa's Apartheid-Era Flag No. 4

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
---------------------	--------------------	----------------

Implementing Fully

Implementing Fully

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [initial response](#), we noted that we plan to update our internal guidance for the [Dangerous Organizations and Individuals](#) Community Standard with more examples, including illustrative global examples for hateful ideologies. This work remains ongoing and we will share updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To improve the clarity of its Hateful Conduct Community Standard, Meta should develop clear and robust criteria for what constitutes allegations of serious criminality, based on protected characteristics, in visual form. These criteria should align with and adapt existing standards for text-based hateful conduct, ensuring consistent application across both text and imagery.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when the internal implementation standards reflect the proposed change.

[Posts Supporting UK Riots No. 2](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

UPDATED COMMITMENT

CURRENT STATUS

Assessing Feasibility

Assessing Feasibility

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

We are continuing to assess if we can incorporate visual indicators that align with existing text-based indicators for what constitutes allegations of serious criminality. This assessment involves understanding the potential development of key indicators and testing those indicators to understand their impacts on policy enforcement. We will share an update on the progress of this assessment in future reports to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure accurate enforcement of its Violence and Incitement and Hateful Conduct policies in future crises, Meta’s Crisis Policy Protocol should ensure potential policy violations that could lead to likely and imminent violence are flagged for in-house human reviewers. These reviewers should provide time-bound, context-informed guidance for at-scale reviewers, including for image-based violations.

The Board will consider this implemented when Meta shares documentation on this new Crisis Policy Protocol lever, outlining how (1) potential violations are flagged for in-house review; (2) context-informed guidance is cascaded down; and (3) implemented for at-scale reviewers.

Posts Supporting UK Riots No. 4

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Since our [previous update](#), our teams have finalized centralized protocol documentation for internal reviewers to instruct scaled reviewers to escalate specific types of content, including image-based content that could lead to imminent violence, during a crisis. We are working on documentation that can be shared with the Board to reflect these updates to our process and expect to share it confidentially alongside our next report.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure that potential veiled threats are more accurately assessed, in light of Meta’s incorrect interpretation of this content on-escalation, the Board recommends that Meta produce an annual assessment of accuracy for this problem area. This should include a specific focus on false

negative rates of detection and removal for threats against human rights defenders, and false positive rates for political speech (e.g., Iran Protest Slogan). As part of this process, Meta should investigate opportunities to improve the accurate detection of high-risk (low-prevalence, high impact) threats at scale.

The Board will consider this implemented when Meta shares the results of this assessment, including how these results will inform improvements to enforcement operations and policy development.

Content Targeting Human Rights Defender in Peru No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [initial response](#) to this recommendation, we committed to assessing feasibility of this recommendation while noting that conducting an “accuracy” assessment is challenging as the final assessment is the result of complex factors that may be specific to a regional, historical, or otherwise situational context as opposed to direct or explicit threats of violence, which can be reviewed at scale. However, we also committed and remain assessing the feasibility of partnering with escalation teams to evaluate samples of content escalated for potential veiled threats to consider opportunities to refine the application of this framework. This work remains in assessment and we will provide updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To better combat misleading manipulated celebrity endorsements, Meta should enforce at scale its Fraud, Scams and Deceptive Practices policy prohibition on content that “attempts to establish a fake persona or to pretend to be a famous person in an attempt to scam or defraud” by providing reviewers with indicators to identify this content. This could include, for example, the presence of media manipulation watermarks and metadata, or clear factors such as video-audio mismatch.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when both the public-facing and private internal guidelines are updated to reflect this change.

AI-Manipulated Video Promoting Gambling No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In 2025, we made an external update to our [Frauds, Scams, and Deceptive Practices](#) Community Standard to further clarify that we do not allow content, “That attempts to establish a fake persona, pretends to be a famous person, or makes unauthorized use of an image of a famous person in an attempt to scam or defraud.” This update reflects ongoing efforts to remove content using celebrity or brand images that may aim to mislead or defraud users for commercial or financial gain.

As we noted in our [initial response](#), we have been working with our teams on tools that human reviewers can also use to identify and face match harmful celeb-bait content at scale. We are continuously working to improve the tools used to detect and prevent scams and will share additional updates in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure that the list of designated symbols under the Dangerous Organizations and Individuals policy does not include symbols that no longer meet Meta’s criteria for inclusion, Meta should introduce a clear and evidence-based process to determine how symbols are added to the groups and which group each designated symbol is added to and periodically audit all designated symbols, ensuring the list covers all relevant symbols globally and removing those no longer satisfying published criteria, as outlined in section 5.2 of this decision.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta has established this process and provides the Board with the documentation and the results of its first audit based on these new rules.

Symbols Adopted by Dangerous Organizations No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In line with our previous commitments, we are assessing the feasibility of introducing a more clear and evidence-based process for designating and de-designating symbols that may be associated with Dangerous Organizations and Individuals (DOI). Due to the efforts this will require, we expect that this process will take time to complete and will continue to provide updates to the Board on future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

To address potential false positives involving designated symbols under the Dangerous Organizations and Individuals Community, Meta should develop a system to automatically identify and flag instances where designated symbols lead to “spikes” that suggest a large volume of non-violating content is being removed, similar to the system the company created in response to the Board’s recommendation No. 2 in Colombian Police Cartoon. This system will allow Meta to analyze “spikes” involving designated symbols and inform the company’s future actions, including amending their practices to be more accurate and precise.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta develops this system and informs the Board of the actions taken to avoid potential overenforcement detected by the system.

Symbols Adopted by Dangerous Organizations No.3

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
---------------------	--------------------	----------------

Assessing Feasibility

Assessing Feasibility

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [initial response](#) we noted that we will continue using our existing system to automatically identify and flag instances where our banks may be generating false positives. As previously committed, we continue to assess what are the best avenues to provide additional policy guidance on Dangerous Organizations and Individuals (DOI) symbols to teams that add content to DOI-specific banks in order to further reduce the risk of false positives. Given the recency of our 60-Day Response, we are still in the early stages of assessing this recommendation and will provide updates in future reports to the Board.

RECOMMENDATION

As part of its electoral integrity efforts and to ensure users are informed of manipulated media on Meta’s platforms in the lead-up to an election, Meta should ensure that the informative labels for manipulated media on Facebook, Instagram and Threads are displayed in the same language that the user has elected for its platform.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides information in its Transparency Center about the languages in which manipulated media labels are available to users on its platforms.

[Alleged Audio Call to Rig Elections in Iraqi Kurdistan No.2](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

UPDATED COMMITMENT

CURRENT STATUS

Assessing Feasibility

Implementing in Part

In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

Per our [initial response](#), we remain committed to advancing the translation of features, including informative labels across our platforms. Our translation process aims to capture the necessary

nuance and dialect, ensuring that labels are contextually appropriate and linguistically accurate. Internal teams and localization specialists work together to evaluate and prioritize translation requests, striving for greater alignment between user-elected languages and available label translations.

The prioritization of expanding this work is considered alongside ongoing technological improvements and product development. We recognize the importance of balancing these efforts to deliver a consistent and accessible experience for all users. We will provide updates on the progress of this work in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

So that the full context of a post can be considered during review, Meta should ensure that, when reviewing content within carousels or multiple-image content types, moderators are able to see all content within the post before making a decision, even when only one image is sent for human review.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta shares internal documentation with the Board detailing these changes in the moderator interface.

Poem About Political Protests in Argentina No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress

H2 2025 UPDATE

In our [initial response](#), we committed to exploring options to support reviewers in accessing additional context when reviewing content within carousels or multiple-image posts. Given the recency of our 60-Day Response, we remain in the early stages of this assessment, where we are considering the relevant volumes and scale implied in implementing this recommendation. We provide updates on our progress in future reports to the Board.

By Current Status : *No Further Updates* (1)

RECOMMENDATION

To ensure Meta responds effectively and consistently to crises, the company should revise the criteria it has established to initiate the Crisis Policy Protocol. In addition to the current approach, in which the company has a list of conditions that may or may not result in protocol activation, the company should identify core criteria that, when met, are sufficient for the immediate activation of the protocol.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta briefs the Board on its new approach for activation of the Crisis Policy Protocol and concludes a disclosure of the procedures in its Transparency Center.

Posts Supporting UK Riots No. 3

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	No Further Action	No Further Updates

H2 2025 UPDATE

As noted in our initial assessment response to this recommendation and in our Transparency Center page detailing the [Crisis Policy Protocol \(CPP\)](#), the CPP is a framework that assists Meta in moments when we assess off-platform crisis developments which may present on-platform risks so that we can respond with specific policy and product actions that will help keep people safe. We created the CPP based on a recommendation from the Oversight Board as a way of strengthening our existing efforts in this area, though we also have a number of other [measures](#) we may consider in the event of a crisis to help us respond with targeted time limited policy and product actions. As we note in response to other recommendations related to crisis response efforts, we may explore future updates to these efforts. More details about when we've used the Crisis Policy Protocol and other measures can be found in our [Human Rights Report](#).

The internal CPP framework helps us balance the need to move quickly, while having a consistent global Content Policy response and allowing flexibility to adapt to quickly changing conditions. The criteria we use was developed following research and external consultations with over 50 experts in national security, conflict prevention, hate speech, humanitarian response and human

rights. The CPP anticipates risks and is informed by past crises to make sure that key learnings are incorporated. Alongside the CPP, teams in Global Operations have the ability to leverage a Crisis Assessment Framework to determine the appropriate operational response to similar risks, which we detailed in our [initial response](#) to recommendation no.4 of this case. While we will continue to incorporate learnings from crisis events to inform when the CPP is activated alongside the range of other crisis response measures we may use, we do not expect to update the protocol further with additional criteria for immediate activation, and refer to ongoing progress in [recommendation no.4](#). We will have no further update to this recommendation.

By Current Status : *Long Term (9)*

RECOMMENDATION

To improve the accuracy of Facebook’s review in the appeals stage, the company should ensure appeals based on policy exceptions are prioritized for human review.

Armenian People and the Armenian Genocide No. 5

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

Assessing Feasibility

UPDATED COMMITMENT

Assessing Feasibility

CURRENT STATUS

Long Term – Update Q1 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

Per our previous update we are exploring how to incorporate user input in our ranking process, in alignment with various ongoing workstreams. This work includes assessing the feasibility of incorporating policy exceptions into the overall prioritization framework for review. This remains an ongoing evaluation considering other potential indicators that affect the prioritization queue. We will provide an update on the progress of this ongoing work in Q1 2026.

RECOMMENDATION

Notify all users who reported content assessed as violating but left on the platform for public interest reasons that the newsworthiness allowance was applied to the post. The notice should link to the Transparency Center explanation of the newsworthiness allowance.

Post Depicting Protests in Colombia While Using a Slur No.4 (Group of 2 recommendations⁵)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

UPDATED COMMITMENT

CURRENT STATUS

⁵ The Board issued a similar recommendation in recommendation No.4 in the [Video Depicting a Civilian Victim of Violence in Sudan](#) case. We are tracking the progress of our work in response to this recommendation as part of our response to recommendation No.4 in the Post Depicting Protests in Colombia While Using a Slur case. The next expected update for this recommendation has been updated from Q2 2025 to Q4 2025.

H2 2025 UPDATE

We are continuing foundational work to ensure that post-report user messaging upholds an adequate standard informed by [regulatory requirements](#). We are evaluating the optimal approach to extend these notifications and will provide updates in future reports as we consider how to develop our approach over an extended period of time. Given the nature of this work, it remains a long term endeavour and we will provide updates in the future.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should study the consequences and trade-offs of implementing a dynamic prioritization system that orders appeals for human review, and consider whether the fact that an enforcement decision resulted in an account restriction should be a criterion within this system.

The board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta shares the results of these investigations with the board and in its quarterly board transparency report.

[Post Requesting Advice on Pharmaceutical Drugs No.2](#)

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT

UPDATED COMMITMENT

CURRENT STATUS

Implementing in Part

Implementing in Part

Long Term – Update Q3 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

Our current human review ranking systems including our [mistake prevention](#) systems and [appeals management](#) ultimately enable multiple reviews of enforcement decisions. Our ranking models are optimized to ensure that the highest priority content receives timely human review, typically we have standardized review [time thresholds](#) across all content types. Additionally, we regularly report on the approximate time we take action on reported content in our various [regulatory and transparency reports](#). Given the robust nature of our review systems and our enforcement

protocols, we have invested significantly towards ensuring that enforcement decisions rarely have outsized consequences, such as account restrictions, without being detected through our systems. We continue to maintain transparency when we [prioritize content for review](#) with emphasis on severity, virality and likelihood of the content to violate our policies. We consolidate such signals to create ranking scores which determine human review prioritization. We continue to assess the various factors that inform prioritization for human review on an ongoing basis, in consideration of multiple factors and will provide progress on this work in future updates. Given the multilayered nature of this work, we will now consider this a long term recommendation for future updates.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should split, either by distinct pathways or prioritization, any list-based over-enforcement prevention program into separate systems: one to protect expression in line with Meta’s human rights responsibilities, and one to protect expression that Meta views as a business priority that falls outside that category.

The board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides the Board with information detailing how both inclusion and operation are split for these categories of entities. Meta publicizes the details about these systems in its Transparency Center.

PAO on Meta’s Cross-Check Policies No.1

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Assessing Feasibility	Assessing Feasibility	Long Term - Update Q1 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

As stated in the [previous update](#), once the program reaches a steady state, we will work to reassess the recommendation and decide on the best path forward to ensure alignment with our updated goals and infrastructure. We view this as a long-term endeavor and will continue to provide updates on our progress in the Long Term section of our reports.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should require that more than one employee be involved in the final process of adding new entities to any lists for false positive mistake-prevention systems. These people should work on different but related teams.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides the Board with information detailing the process by which new entities are added to lists, including how many employees must approve inclusion and what teams they belong to.

PAO on Meta's Cross-Check Policies No.9

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Long Term - Update Q1 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

We recently launched a review of all entities included in our Civic and Government Sensitive Entity Secondary Review (SSR) segment. This review aims to validate that entities included in the program are accurate and comply with the Civic and Government category criteria. While entities in this category are typically identified by Meta's Global Social Impact and Public Policy teams, this additional review will be conducted by escalation specialists who are completely separate from these teams, aligning with [Recommendation No.8](#). After the review concludes and regional team capacity is reassessed following the support of our SSR content review, we will determine which teams are best positioned to review entities in the SSR programs and define their roles. We will continue to provide updates on these efforts in future reports.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should ensure that content that receives any kind of enhanced review because it is important from a human rights perspective, including content of public importance, is reviewed by teams that can apply exceptions and context.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta provides the Board with information that shows the percentage of content receiving review by teams that can apply exceptions and context because it has been posted by an entitled entity or because it has been identified algorithmically as meriting enhanced review disaggregated by mistake prevention system (e.g. GSR vs. SSR).

PAO on Meta's Cross-Check Policies No.20

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Long Term – Update Q3 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

We have continued to refine the capabilities of specialists at the second review layer to expand review capacity among language expertise reviewers. In H1 2026 we will begin to roll out training initiatives that expand the capacity of language expertise reviewers to assess policies that were previously assessed at a policy expert level. This ensures that the specialists involved are better equipped to make policy decisions in the cross-check review process.

As mentioned in the previous update, this is a complex implementation effort and we intend to provide a further update in Q3 2026.

RECOMMENDATION

Meta should use the data it compiles to identify “historically over-enforced entities” to inform how to improve its enforcement practices at scale. Meta should measure over-enforcement of these entities and it should use that data to help identify other over-enforced entities. Reducing over-enforcement should be an explicit and high-priority goal for the company.

PAO on Meta’s Cross-Check Policies No.26

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing Fully	Implementing Fully	Long Term – Update Q3 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

We have continued to develop our understanding of areas where over-enforcement is likely to occur, and have leveraged this data to surface a need to proactively identify cohorts of entities who may require rapid intervention to prevent over-enforcement. Our efforts in 2026 will focus more substantially on developing our data capabilities to support proactive identification of entities that are likely to experience over-enforcement during high-risk or politically sensitive events to ensure enforcement outcomes are equitable and nuanced for sensitive groups.

RECOMMENDATION

To improve implementation of its policy, Meta should conduct an assessment of the enforcement accuracy of the at-scale prohibition on exposing the identity or locations of anyone alleged to be a member of an outing-risk group, under the Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime Community Standard.

The Board will consider this recommendation implemented when Meta publicly shares the results of the assessment and explains how the company intends to improve enforcement accuracy of this policy.

Homophobic Violence in West Africa No.2

PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	UPDATED COMMITMENT	CURRENT STATUS
Implementing in Part	Implementing in Part	Long Term – Update Q3 2026

H2 2025 UPDATE

Since our [previous update](#), we have continued to explore how to secure data support for assessing enforcement accuracy for the “harm against people” subset of our Coordinating Harm and Promoting Crime Community Standard remains limited, and we are actively evaluating options to reprioritize and allocate the necessary resources to move forward, alongside other company priorities. We will provide updates on our progress in future reports to the Board.

Index

Case	Recommendation	Updated Category	Status		Page
Former President Trump's Suspension	2021-001-FB-FBR-18	Implementing in Part	Complete	Transparency Long Term	4
Armenian People and the Armenian Genocide	2021-005-FB-UA-5	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement Long Term	71
Support of Abdullah Öcalan, Founder of the PKK	2023-039-FB-UA-11	Implementing in Part	Complete	Transparency Long Term	5
Al Jazeera Post on Tensions between Israel and Palestine	2021-009-FB-UA-4	Implementing in Part	Complete	Transparency <i>Footnote no.1</i>	5
Post Depicting Protests in Colombia While Using a Slur	2021-010-FB-UA-4	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement Long Term	71
Post Describing Sexual Violence Against Minors	2021-016-FB-FBR-1	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	23
Post Requesting Advice on Pharmaceutical Drugs	2021-015-FB-UA-2	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Enforcement Long Term	72
Video Depicting a Civilian Victim of Violence in Sudan	2022-002-FB-MR-4	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement Long Term <i>Footnote no.5</i>	71
Cartoon Depicting Violence by the Police in Colombia	2022-004-FB-UA-2	Implementing in Part	Complete	Enforcement	50
Veiled Threat of Violence Based on Lyrics from a Drill Rap Song	2022-007-IG-MR-6	Implementing in Part	Complete	Transparency Long Term <i>Footnote no.1</i>	5
	2022-007-IG-MR-7	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Transparency Long Term	14
PAO on Meta's Cross-Check Policies	2021-002-FB-PAO-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement Long Term	73
	2021-002-FB-PAO-4	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Policy Long Term	47
	2021-002-FB-PAO-8	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Transparency Long Term	14

	2021-002-FB-PAO-9	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Enforcement Long Term	74
	2021-002-FB-PAO-11	Implementing in Part	Complete	Enforcement	51
	2021-002-FB-PAO-20	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Enforcement Long Term	75
	2021-002-FB-PAO-26	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Enforcement Long Term	75
	2021-002-FB-PAO-27	Implementing in Part	Complete	Enforcement	52
	2021-002-FB-PAO-28	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Transparency Long Term	15
	2021-002-FB-PAO-30	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Transparency Long Term	16
Aftermath of an Attack on a Church in Nigeria	2022-011-IG-UA-2	Implementing in Part	Complete	Enforcement	53
Cartoon Depicting Iran's Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei	2022-013-FB-UA-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	47
A Call for the Donation of Pharmaceutical Drugs to Sri Lanka	2022-014-FB-MR-1	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	24
	2022-014-FB-MR-3	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Policy	24
	2022-014-FB-MR-4	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Transparency Long Term	17
PAO on Removal of COVID-19 Misinformation	2022-002-FB-PAO-9	Implementing in Part	Complete	Transparency	6
	2022-002-FB-PAO-13	Implementing in Part	Complete	Transparency	7
Video of Cambodian Prime Minister	2023-003-FB-MR-2	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	25
Image of Gender Based Violence	2023-003-IG-UA-1	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	26
Experience with Ketamine as a Medical Treatment	2023-010-IG-MR-1	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	27
Video of Communal Violence in the Indian State of Odisha	2023-018-FB-MR-2	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy <i>Footnote no.3</i>	24
Weapons Post Linked to Sudan's Conflict	2023-028-FB-UA-2	No Further Action	No Further Updates	Transparency	13

PAO on the Treatment of the Term “Shaheed”	PAO 2023-01-1	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	27
	PAO 2023-01-2	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	28
Greek 2023 Elections Campaign Bundle	2023-030-FB-UA, 2023-031-FB-UA - 1	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	29
Sudan’s Rapid Support Forces Video Captive	2023-039-FB-UA-2	Implementing Fully	Complete	Enforcement	54
	2023-039-FB-UA-3	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	30
News Documentary on Child Abuse in Pakistan	2024-001-FB-MR -1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	30
Explicit AI Images of Female Public Figures	2024-007-IG-UA, 2024-008-FB-UA -1	Implementing in Part	Complete	Policy	19
	2024-007-IG-UA, 2024-008-FB-UA-2	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	31
	2024-007-IG-UA, 2024-008-FB-UA-3	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	32
	2024-007-IG-UA, 2024-008-FB-UA-4	Implementing in Part	Complete	Policy	20
From the River to the Sea Bundle	2024-004-FB-UA-1, 2024-005-FB-UA-1, 2024-006-UA-1	Implementing in Part	Complete	Transparency	8
	2024-004-FB-UA-2, 2024-005-FB-UA-2, 2024-006-UA-2	Implementing in Part	Complete	Transparency	10
Statements About the Japanese Prime Minister	2024-027-TH-UA- 1	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Policy	33
	2024-027-TH-UA- 2	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	33
	2024-027-TH-UA- 3	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	34
Pakistan Political Candidate Accused of Blasphemy	2024-031-IG-MR-1	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Policy	35
	2024-031-IG-MR- 2	Implementing in Part	Complete	Enforcement	55
Criminal Allegations Based on Nationality	2024-028-IG-MR, 2024-029-TH-MR, 2024-030-FB-MR -1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy Long Term	48
	2024-028-IG-MR, 2024-029-TH-MR, 2024-030-FB-MR -2	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Enforcement	57
Iranian Make-Up Video for a Child Marriage	2024-037-IG-MR -1	Implementing Fully	Complete	Policy	21
	2024-037-IG-MR -2	Implementing Fully	Complete	Policy Footnote no.2	21

	2024-037-IG-MR -3	Implementing Fully	Complete	Policy <i>Footnote no.2</i>	21
	2024-037-IG-MR -4	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	36
Homophobic Violence in West Africa	2024-041-FB-UA-1	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Policy	36
	2024-041-FB-UA-2	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Enforcement Long Term	76
Footage of Moscow Terrorist Attack	2024-038-FB-UA, 2024-039-FB-UA, 2024-040-FB-UA - 1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	37
	2024-038-FB-UA, 2024-039-FB-UA, 2024-040-FB-UA - 2	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	38
Criticism of EU Migration Policies and Immigrants	2025-003-FB-UA, 2025-004-FB-UA-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy <i>Footnote no.4</i>	39
	2025-003-FB-UA, 2025-004-FB-UA-2	Implementing in Part	Complete	Enforcement	56
	2025-003-FB-UA, 2025-004-FB-UA-3	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Enforcement	58
Gender Identity Debate Videos	2024-046-FB-UA, 2024-047-IG-UA-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	39
	2024-046-FB-UA, 2024-047-IG-UA-2	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	40
	2024-046-FB-UA, 2024-047-IG-UA-3	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement	59
	2024-046-FB-UA, 2024-047-IG-UA-4	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Enforcement	59
Posts Displaying South Africa's Apartheid-Era Flag	2025-001-FB-UA, 2025-002-FB-UA -1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy <i>Footnote no.4</i>	39
	2025-001-FB-UA, 2025-002-FB-UA - 2	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	40
	2025-001-FB-UA, 2025-002-FB-UA - 3	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	41
	2025-001-FB-UA, 2025-002-FB-UA - 4	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Enforcement	60
Posts Supporting UK Riots	2025-009-FB-UA, 2025-010-FB-UA, 2025-011-FB-UA -1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	42
	2025-001-FB-UA, 2025-002-FB-UA - 2	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement	61

	2025-009-FB-UA, 2025-010-FB-UA, 2025-011-FB-UA -3	No Further Action	No Further Updates	Enforcement	69
	2025-009-FB-UA, 2025-010-FB-UA, 2025-011-FB-UA -4	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Enforcement	62
	2025-009-FB-UA, 2025-010-FB-UA, 2025-011-FB-UA -5	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Transparency	11
Content Targeting Human Rights Defender in Peru	2025-012-FB-UA-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	43
	2025-012-FB-UA-2	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement	63
Videos of Teachers Hitting Children	2025-020-FB-MR, 2025-021-FB-MR-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	43
Posts Containing Images of Partially Nude Indigenous Women	2025-005-IG-UA-1, 2025-006-IG-UA-1, 2025-007-IG-MR-1 , 2025-008-FB-MR-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	44
AI-Manipulated Video Promoting Gambling	2025-018-FB-UA-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement	64
Symbols Adopted by Dangerous Organizations	2025-015-IG-MR, 2025-016-IG-MR, 2025-017-IG-MR-1	Implementing Fully	In Progress	Policy	45
	2025-015-IG-MR, 2025-016-IG-MR, 2025-017-IG-MR-2	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement	64
	2025-015-IG-MR-3, 2025-016-IG-MR-3, 2025-017-IG-MR-3	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement	65
	2025-015-IG-MR, 2025-016-IG-MR, 2025-017-IG-MR-4	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Transparency	12
Alleged Audio Call to Rig Elections in Iraqi Kurdistan	2025-019-FB-UA-2	Implementing in Part	In Progress	Enforcement	66
Poem About Political Protest in Argentina	2025-043-IG-UA-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Enforcement	67
Posts Sharing Speeches in Syrian Conflict	2025-022-FB-UA, 2025-023-FB-UA-1	Assessing Feasibility	In Progress	Policy	21
	2025-022-FB-UA, 2025-023-FB-UA-1	Implementing in Part	Complete	Policy	46